CHRISTOPHER E. PLATTEN - 111971 1 CAROL L. KOENIG - 162037 WYLIE, McBRIDE, PLATTEN & RENNER 2 2125 Canoas Garden Avenue, Suite 120 San Jose, California 95125 3 Telephone: (408) 979-2920 Facsimile: (408) 979-2934 4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs JEFFREY WELCH, 5 GLEN BISHOP, MITCHELL WITSINSKI, ROBERT CULBERTSON 6

ING ORIGINAL FILED

APR 2 4 2008

Richard W. Wieking
Northern District Of California

Page 1 of 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**€0**8 02132

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
JUDGMENT, COMPENSATION UNDER
THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
AND OTHER RELIEF

JEFFREY WELCH, GLEN BISHOP, MITCHELL WITSINSKI, ROBERT CULBERTSON and other employees similarly situated,

and other employees similarly situated

Plaintiffs,

vs.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CITY OF SAN JOSE,

Defendant.

## NATURE OF COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiffs are, or at all times material were, employees of the Defendant CITY OF SAN JOSE ("City"), California, and they bring this action on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated. This is an action for declaratory judgment under 28 U.S.C. sections 2201 and 2202 and for compensation and other further relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. sections 201, *et seq.* 

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this action is conferred on this court by 28 U.S.C. section 1331 because the action arises under the FLSA, a federal law, and because such suit is authorized by 29 U.S.C. section 216(b). Venue lies within this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

28

1

 section 1391 and Local Rule 3-2(d) because the events giving rise to the action took place in Santa Clara County.

#### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

3. The basis for assignment to the San Jose Division, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(d), is that this action arises in the County of Santa Clara.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiffs are, or at all times material were, employees of the City of San Jose. Each of the plaintiffs has given their written consent to be a party in this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section 216 (b).
- 5. Defendant City is a political subdivision of the State of California, a public agency within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. section 203(d).

# **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

- 6. At all times material, the Plaintiffs have been entitled to the rights, protections and benefits provided under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), as amended, 29 U.S.C. sections 201, *et seq*.
- 7. At all times material, the Plaintiffs have worked hours in excess of the hourly levels specified in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. section 207. As a result, at all times material, Plaintiffs have been entitled to overtime compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours of overtime worked.
- 8. Plaintiffs are, or at all times material were, firefighters and employees engaged in fire protection for the City. Under the FLSA and the Department of Labor's regulations applicable to firefighter employees, the employer may establish and declare a work period of not less than seven days nor more than 28 days. (29 U.S.C. section 207; 29 C.F.R. section 553.201.) The City has established and declared a work period of 14 days for administrative employees and 28 days for employees who perform suppression (shift) work.
- 9. Pursuant to the Department of Labor's regulations, the Defendant must pay administrative employees assigned to a 14 day work period overtime compensation at a

13

14

15

16 17

19 20

18

21 22 23

25 26

27

28

24

rate not less than one and one-half times their regular rate of pay (hereinafter "FLSA rate") for hours worked in excess of 106 hours per 14-day work period. (29 C.F.R. § 553.230) Also pursuant to the Department of Labor's regulations, the Defendant must pay suppression employees assigned to a 28-day work period overtime compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times their "regular rate" of pay for hours worked in excess of 212 hours per 28-day work period. (29 C.F.R. § 553.230) The FLSA "regular rate" of pay, as defined by statute, must include holiday "in lieu of pay" and certain premium pays (e.g., Emergency Medical Technician pay, bilingual pay, education incentive pay, administrative assignment pay, etc.). Overtime hours which do not result in more than 106 total hours of work in a 14-day period for administrative employees or 212 hours in a 28day work period for shift employees may be paid at one and one-half times a lower hourly rate.

- The City has adopted and is using a payroll accounting system that regularly 10. undercounts the number of overtime hours for which the FLSA rate applies for Plaintiffs working as administrative employees and for Plaintiffs working as suppression employees. That is, the City is paying the lower non-FLSA rate of pay for overtime hours that, under law, should be paid at the higher FLSA rate. As a result, the overtime pay that the City has been paying is frequently less than that to which the Plaintiffs are entitled to by the FLSA.
- Since before April 23, 2005, the City has violated, and is continuing to violate, 11. 29 U.S.C. section 207 and the regulations of the U.S. Department of Labor by failing and refusing to pay FLSA overtime compensation to Plaintiffs at a rate not less than one and one-half times the FLSA rate for hours worked in excess of the hourly and work period standards applicable to firefighter employees under 29 U.S.C. section 207(k).
- The City's violations of the FLSA as alleged herein have been done in a 12. willful and bad faith manner in that the City received notice through a previous court action that it must include holiday-in-lieu pay and other premium pays when calculating the FLSA rate of pay and that the FLSA rate must be paid for all hours worked in excess of the

statutory limits of 106 for administrative employees and 212 for shift employees. (*Sekany v. City of San Jose*, Case No. 98-21081 JW)

- 13. In addition, the City was notified by the Plaintiffs in December 2007 that the payroll system it has adopted was undercounting the number of overtime hours subject to the FLSA rate of pay. Despite the Plaintiffs demand that the City correct this undercounting, the City refused, and has continued to refuse, to correct the problem.
- 14. As a result of these willful violations of the FLSA, overtime compensation has been unlawfully withheld by the City from Plaintiffs for which Defendant is liable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section 216(b), together with an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorney fees and the costs of this action.
- 15. The employment and work records for each Plaintiff are in the exclusive possession, custody and control of the City, and the Plaintiffs are unable to state at this time the exact amount owing to each of them. The City is under a duty imposed by 29 U.S.C. section 211(c) and the regulations of the U.S. Department of Labor to maintain and preserve payroll and other employment records with respect to Plaintiffs from which the amounts of the City's liability can be ascertained.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs, on their own behalf and on behalf of others similarly situated, pray that this Court:

- Enter a declaratory judgment declaring that the City has willfully and wrongfully violated its statutory and legal obligations, and deprived Plaintiffs of their rights, protections and entitlements under federal law, as alleged herein;
- 2. Order a complete and accurate accounting of all compensation to which Plaintiffs are entitled;

- Award each Plaintiff monetary damages in the form of back pay compensation, and liquidated damages equal to their unpaid compensation, plus pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest;
- Award Plaintiffs their reasonable attorney's fees to be paid by the City, and the costs of this action; and
- Order the City to modify its payroll accounting system so that it accurately calculates and pays the amount of FLSA overtime compensation to which the plaintiffs are entitled.
- 6. Grant such other relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: April <u>23</u>, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

WYLIE, McBRIDE, PLATTEN & RENNER

CHRISTOPHER E. PLATTEN

CAROL L. KOENIG Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1:\230/71696/complaint

0.	10	4.4	(Rev.	11/0/1
~ A.	JS	44	rRev.	11/04)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

AMOUNT\_

RECEIPT#\_

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
JEFFREY WELCH, GLE			•	CITY OF SAN JOS	SE	15	
WITSINSKI, ROBERT		d othe	er			JF	
employees similar	ly situated		,	$\alpha$	0213	<b>49</b>	
			C08	OWT			
(1-)	CANIMA CIA	ת כו		County of Residence of First Listed	Defeadent SANTA CI		
(b) County of Residence of First Liste	ed Plaintiff <u>SANTA СБА</u> S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	.KA		(IN LISE	PLAINTIFF CASES ONL	Y)	
(EXCEPTING.	3. FLAINTIFT CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNA		CATION OF THE	
				OF LAND INVOLVED		•	
(C) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address,	and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)			
CAROL L. KOENIG,	ESQ. #162037			4			
CHRISTOPHER E. PI				~	<b>(</b>		
Wylie, McBride, E		r		7.5	<b>4</b> 7		
2125 Canoas Garde					•		
San Jose, CA 9512			0.71	TENOUID OF DOMO!	DAL DARTIES -		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICT	ION (Place an "X" in One Box (	Only)		ZENSHIP OF PRINCI Diversity Cases Only)		ce an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
1 1 U.S. Government	X 3 Federal Question		(10,1	PTF DEF	•	PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a	Party)	Citizen of TI				
	4 Diversity		Chizentorit	of Business in This State			
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of F in Item III)	arties	Citizen of A	nother State 2 2	Incorporated and Princing of Business In Another		
	,		Citizen or S	ubject of a		6 6	
			Foreign Co				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Pla	ace an "X" in One Box Only)						
CONTRACT	TORTS			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance		PERSONAL		610 Agriculture	i 422 Appeal 28 USC 158		
120 Marine	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product		sonal Injury - 1. Malpractice	620 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability		sonal Injury -	625 Drug Related Seizure of	28 USC 157	450 Commerce	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &		duct Liability	Dropody 21 USC 881	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'		estos Personal Product Liabilit		820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability			640 R.R. & Truck	830 Patent	480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL	PROPERTY	650 Airline Regs.	840 Trademark	490 Cable/Sat TV	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	370 Oth	er Fraud	Safety/Health		: 810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle		th in Lending	690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange	
190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle   Product Liability		er Personal perty Damage	LABOR	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	875 Customer Challenge	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	385 Pro	perty Damage	X 710 Fair Labor	863 DIWC/DIWW	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions	
196 Franchise			duct Liability	Standards Act	(405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS		R PETITIONS  Motion to Vacate	- 1720 Labor/Myrit. Relations	864 SSID Title XVI	892 Economic Stabilization	
210 Land Condemnation	442 Employment		Sentence	9	865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure	443 Housing/	,,	as Corpus:	Disclosure Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	894 Energy Allocation Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Accommodations		Seneral Death Penalty	740 Railway Labor Act	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	f 895 Freedom of Information Act	
240 Torts to Land	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 N	Mandamus &	790 Other Labor Litigation	or Defendant)	900 Appeal of Fee	
245 Tort Product Liability	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	1 1	Other Civil Rights	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	Determination Under	
290 All Other Real Property	Other		Prison Condition	Security Act		Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of	
	440 Other Civil Rights					State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an	"X" in One Box Only)					r 1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	moved from 3 Reman			nstated or L 5 Transferred		ct 1 7 Appeal to District  Judge from Magistrate	
Proceeding Stat	te Court Appella	te Court	Rec	opened another dis (specify)	inici Enganon	Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statut	e under wh	hich you are	filing (Do not cite jurisdic	ctional statutes unless	diversity):	
29 U.S.C. §201 et			•				
Brief description of cause: Fa.	ilure to pay pr	coper	FLSA o	vertime			
	CHECK IF THIS IS A C			MAND\$ undetermined	CHECK YES or	nly if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	LAGO AU	HOM DE	ALMAN A DIRECTIVITIED	JURY DEMANI		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)	(Contrate rations):	ILIDOS 1	JAMES W	INDE	DOCKET NUMBER CO	07-06424 JW	
DATE				OF RECORD	DOORET HOMBER OF		
April 23, 2008	SIGNA	I UNE OF A	TITORNET	OI MEODING	•		

APPLYING IFP

\_\_\_JUDGE \_

MAG. JUDGE

NDC-JS44